# *Legal*Metric

## LegalMetric Nationwide Report Stay Pending Other Litigation in Patent Cases

**June 1991-July 2011** 

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc. Use of this information by anyone other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, or disclosure of this information to persons other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, without the consent of LegalMetric, Inc. is prohibited.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identitied on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

#### Arizona

The dockets of patent cases in Arizona were examined to identify decisions on contested stay pending other litigation motions. The number of decisions found, the number granted, and the number denied are as follows:


Total Decisions: 22

Total Granted: 3 Plaintiff Granted: 0 Defendant Granted: 3 Total Denied: 19 Plaintiff Denied: 1 Defendant Denied: 18

Total Granted in Part, Denied in Part: 0 Plaintiff Granted in Part: 0


Defendant Granted in Part: 0 Plaintiff Win Rate: 0.0% Defendant Win Rate: 14.3% Overall Win Rate: 13.6%

#### **Contested Win Rates on Motions**



The average time from motion filing to decision was 2.4 months from motion filing. The distribution of times to decision for these motions is illustrated in the chart below.

## **Distribution of Decisions**



#### Carroll, Earl

Total Decisions: 1 Total Granted: 1 Total Denied: 0

Total Granted in Part, Denied in Part: 0

Overall Win Rate: 100.0%

Average Time to Decision: 0.7 months from motion filing

	Case Number	Movant	Decision	Pendency (months) D	ecision Date	
	2:01cv00888	Defendant	Granted	0.66	6/28/2001	

## McNamee, Stephen

Total Decisions: 20 Total Granted: 2 Total Denied: 18

Total Granted in Part, Denied in Part: 0

Overall Win Rate: 10.0%

Average Time to Decision: 2.4 months from motion filing

Case Number	Movant	Decision	Pendency (months)	Decision Date	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Granted	0.53	11/17/2000	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied without Prejudice	2.76	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Granted	2.11	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied	1.74	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied	1.71	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied	3.06	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied	3.03	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied	3.03	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00661	Defendant	Denied without Prejudice	2.83	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.89	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.99	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Plaintiff	Denied	2.99	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.99	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.30	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.17	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.17	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.11	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	2.11	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	1.74	1/5/2001	
2:00cv00662	Defendant	Denied	1.74	1/5/2001	

## Rosenblatt, Paul

Total Decisions: 1
Total Granted: 0
Total Denied: 1

Total Granted in Part, Denied in Part: 0

Overall Win Rate: 0.0%

Average Time to Decision: 4.3 months from motion filing

Case Number	Movant	Decision	Pendency (months) Decision Date
2:01cv02090	Defendant	Denied	4.28 4/16/2002